DISCIPLINARY LITERACY IN THE MIDDLE SCHOOL Exploring Pedagogical Tensions

Abbey C. K. Graham, Shea N. Kerkhoff, and Hiller A. Spires

North Carolina State University

The present study examined middle school teachers' perceptions of literacy demands in their disciplines and specific literacy strategies they used to teach their disciplines. The eight participants in this multiple case study included 2 middle school teachers from each of 4 disciplines (i.e., English/language arts, science, social studies, and mathematics) who had completed 6 weeks of online professional development on disciplinary literacy. Data sources comprised 2 classroom observations and 2 semistructured interviews following observations for each of the 8 teachers, as well as classroom and school artifacts. Qualitative analyses included within-case and cross-case themes. Findings indicated participants used a range of content area literacy and discipline-specific literacy instruction. Based on cross-case analysis, 4 themes emerged from the 4 discipline-based cases: (a) interdisciplinary literacy, (b) power in disciplinary language, (c) pedagogical tensions related to end of grade exams, and (d) individualized access. Two pedagogical tensions emerged from the data: the tension between content area literacy and disciplinary literacy and the tension between disciplinary-based instruction and interdisciplinarity, which permeates the middle school curriculum. Future research should explore how middle school teachers navigate the pedagogical tensions of disciplinary literacy within interdisciplinarity in light of Common Core State Standards and other current policy initiatives.

The literacy field is shifting toward a focus on disciplinary literacy. The shift has been prompted by recent policy and standards reports. For example, the Carnegie Council on Advancing Adolescent Literacy (Lee & Spratley, 2010), Common Core State Standards (National Governors Association & Council of Chief State School Officers, 2010), Next Generation Science Standards (2013), and 3C

Framework for Social Studies (National Council for Social Studies, 2013) advocate for content area teachers to support students' reading of complex texts and production of writing within their disciplines. Supporting students' disciplinary literacy promotes both the content knowledge and the process of constructing knowledge of a discipline (Moje, 2008). McConachie and Petrosky (2010) defined

[•] Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to: Hiller A. Spires, hiller+spries@ncsu.edu